



The Climate Adaptation Fund 2012 Grants Program Applicant Guidance Document

This Applicant Guidance Document is designed to assist potential applicants in both understanding the programmatic priorities of the WCS Climate Adaptation Fund and determining the key elements of a strong proposal.

A strong proposal to the Climate Adaptation Fund will feature a wildlife conservation project with the following characteristics:

- **Designed with climate adaptation in mind;**
- **Conducts on-the-ground implementation, not more planning;**
- **Builds the functionality and adaptive capacity of ecosystems;**
- **Proposes conservation actions that are grounded in the latest science;**
- **Designed for long-term conservation impact;**
- **Creates the potential for impact at a landscape scale; and**
- **Serves as a model for others.**

Further explanation for each element of a strong proposal:

1. The proposal demonstrates that a project is designed with climate adaptation in mind

The Climate Adaptation Fund (CAF) seeks projects designed specifically to address climate change and its impact on wildlife and habitat, while working to achieve specific adaptation objectives. Consistent with this focus on project design, the Climate Adaptation Fund seeks projects that are altering implementation methods or management techniques based on climate adaptation science; i.e. doing something differently on the landscape due to anticipated climate change, which may include either where you're taking actions or the types of actions you're taking. If your project would likely implement the same actions in the absence of climate change, it may fail these criteria. The Climate Adaptation Fund encourages projects that implement innovative or novel conservation activities on a landscape in response to climate pressures.

An important element of any proposal will be a thorough explanation of the project development process that preceded the application. It will be necessary to demonstrate the scientific basis and adaptation rationale of the design; i.e. were the conservation actions proposed the result of a local/regional climate change vulnerability assessment, down-scaled climate models, a local expert-driven process for determining climate risks and recommended actions, and/or some other adaptation planning process?

Example of a project designed with climate adaptation in mind:

A project focused on carnivore adaptation in the Northern Rockies is using climate science to project future movement patterns for a suite of carnivore species. Based on that science, the project partners changed their approach. Using the Adaptation for Conservation Targets Framework to develop adaptation strategies for protecting critical habitat, the conservation practitioners switched their focus from protecting higher-elevation habitats that will be highly vulnerable to negative impacts of climate change to restoring low-elevation riparian habitats expected to be increasingly important as species are forced to move in search of resources.

2. The proposal demonstrates that a project conducts on-the-ground implementation, not more planning

The Climate Adaptation Fund is designed to support a limited set of novel conservation projects – those developed specifically to demonstrate tangible, on-the-ground solutions to climate-driven problems. Applications for funds to support conservation planning activities will not be considered. Conservation and adaptation planning processes that have identified what actions are necessary and where to take them should be completed before the project start date. Also, due to this singular focus on applied, “shovel ready” projects, the Fund is unable to support applications for projects whose primary purpose is to undertake further scientific research, data analyses, or vulnerability assessments.

The Climate Adaptation Fund does, however, place a high value on monitoring activities that help inform the efficacy of a climate adaptation project. Grant funds will support on-going data collection as part of monitoring activities to track progress and determine the ecological effectiveness of conservation interventions. The Fund will also support activities to document the processes, tools, methods, and results of the project to share with others (as long as these activities are part of a grant for an applied project, not a stand-alone endeavor).

3. The proposal demonstrates that a project builds the functionality and adaptive capacity of ecosystems

The Climate Adaptation Fund is focused on projects designed to promote ecosystem functionality across landscapes, rather than those designed to protect or conserve individual species. Protecting the functionality and enhancing the resilience of habitats will help ensure the persistence of current and future suites of species. Within this context, the Fund seeks projects focused on accommodating, rather than resisting, climatic changes, and facilitating system transitions to future climate change-induced conditions.

4. The proposal demonstrates that proposed conservation actions are grounded in the latest science

A strong proposal to the Climate Adaptation Fund links proposed conservation activities to climate impacts. These strategies and actions should be supported by explicit scientific rationale. Applicants will be asked to identify the specific sources of empirical research, modeling, vulnerability analyses, or other rationale that informs the project’s implementation activities.

In writing your proposal it may be helpful to consider the following questions:

- Is it clear what specific climatic changes are being addressed? For example, rather than referring generally to “climate change”, the proposal references specific elements of climate change that are relevant to the project, such as changing precipitation patterns, rising temperatures, or other pressures within a particular landscape or ecosystem.
- Does the proposal make clear what’s known about the projections for those impacts and address uncertainty in those projections?
- How has the available science informed the conservation actions prescribed by the project?
- Does the proposal make it clear how uncertainty could affect the proposed activities of the project? Strategies and actions should provide benefit across a range of possible future conditions to account for uncertainties in models that project climate change and species response to climate change, and in ecological and human responses to climatic shifts.

A special note on science for projects working to address sea-level rise:

The Climate Adaptation Fund does support projects focused on sea-level rise, but requires additional scientific information to justify project rationale and assumptions. The Fund requires all applicants submitting sea-level rise projects to include spatially-explicit maps demonstrating the long-term sustainability of a project given 1m to 2m sea-level rise scenarios.

Some common datasets and mapping tools for sea-level rise:

- *CRESIS offers geospatial sea-level rise data available at 1 km (for some areas) and 1.8 km resolution (globally).*
- *The University of Arizona Department of Geosciences provides finer resolution maps (30 m) for the US lower 48 states, using an elevation-based model.*
- *Digital Coast, NOAA Coastal Services Center provides a downloadable SLAMM model (ArcGIS software required).*

5. The proposal demonstrates that the project is designed for long-term conservation impact

The Climate Adaptation Fund seeks to support conservation projects with impacts expected to remain effective even in an uncertain future. These projects should address adaptation needs now without requiring repeated long-term investments of management resources to maintain habitat conditions, such as through unceasing efforts to prevent encroachment of invasive species or rising sea-levels. The Climate Adaptation Fund also prioritizes projects addressing functionality of systems likely to persist as climate changes, rather than projects aimed at protecting ecosystems that are projected to be highly vulnerable to climate impacts over time.

Example of a project with the potential for long-term impact:

A non-profit watershed organization is working with state and federal agencies to restore previously degraded habitat for a variety of species that rely on mid-elevation mountain habitats of the Sierra Nevada range in California. Temperatures are expected to increase within the project landscape and species are expected to migrate upslope as their current habitat deteriorates and becomes unsuitable. Climate science suggests that suitable conditions for those species will persist further upslope in the long-term. Restoration activities are therefore targeted for those upslope forest lands to serve as potential future climate refugia for those species.

6. The proposal demonstrates that the project creates the potential for impact at a landscape scale

The Climate Adaptation Fund seeks projects that make a difference at the landscape level, either through landscape-scale efforts, or place-based efforts that directly support broader landscape conservation goals. These are essentially two types of projects. The first type is conducted at a scale that impacts an entire landscape, such as through land-use designation changes over a large area, coordinates management practices across jurisdictions, connects management practices on private lands to large adjacent public lands, ties together core habitat areas to create a larger connected landscape, includes many collaborators, and leverages significant funding resources to take the project to scale. The second project type is smaller, site-based effort, which implements critical pieces of a larger landscape conservation plan or has the potential to impact the broader landscape through replication of similar practices. These smaller projects may lend themselves to replicability through activities aimed at gaining stakeholder buy-in across a landscape, communicating project success to other conservation practitioners, and gaining public policy support to catalyze similar actions.

Example of a project with the potential for impact at a landscape scale:

In partnership with the Idaho Department of Fish and Game and the U.S. Forest Service, a non-profit conservation organization plans to re-introduce beavers on public and private lands in southeastern Idaho. Beavers will be introduced to eight stream segments identified by a recent climate study as likely to benefit from increased water storage to ensure summer flows for a number of freshwater fish species vulnerable to climate impacts. The study identified an additional 56 stream segments as potential beaver re-introduction sites. Project partners are working to raise additional funding to replicate this project and introduce beavers to additional stream segments across the landscape in future years.

7. The proposal demonstrates how the climate adaptation project will serve as a model for others

The Climate Adaptation Fund seeks projects that can relate a compelling story to other conservation practitioners about the development and implementation of a project that addresses climate adaptation solutions for various geographies, habitat types, and conservation outcomes. These projects serve to support the ultimate aim of the Climate Adaptation Fund - to catalyze a shift in the paradigm of managers and practitioners to consider climate adaptation in how we prioritize and implement conservation projects.

Climate adaptation projects can tell a good climate adaptation story in terms of development, implementation, and outcomes. These projects should serve to build a common knowledge base and a common language among those in the conservation community to support climate change science and on-the-ground activities. Project communications should contribute knowledge to help build the adaptation field, publicize working models for applied on-the-ground adaptation actions, and reach stakeholders who are key to the project's long-term success.

APPENDIX

A quick guide to sources of science and information on climate adaptation

General adaptation knowledge and tools

The Yale Mapping Framework offers a menu of approaches appropriate for ecological assessments that support conservation planning in a changing climate. It provides guidance on appropriate strategies for climate-smart ecological assessments and the tools to implement them.

<http://www.databasin.org/yale>

The Climate Adaptation Knowledge Exchange (CAKE) is a clearinghouse for a wide variety of information about climate adaptation.

<http://www.cakex.org/>

Selected literature

Anderson, M. G., & Ferree, C. E. (2010). Conserving the Stage: Climate Change and the Geophysical Underpinnings of Species Diversity. *PLoS ONE*, 5 (7), e11554.

Beier, P., & Brost, B. (2010). Use of Land Facets to Plan for Climate Change: Conserving the Arenas, Not the Actors. *Conservation Biology*, 24 (3), 701–710.

Hannah, L., Midgley, G. F., & Millar, D. (2002). Climate change-integrated conservation strategies. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, (11), p. 485–495.

Heller, N., & Zavaleta, E. (2009). Biodiversity Management in the face of climate change: A review of 22 years of recommendations. *Biological Conservation*, (142), p. 14-32.

IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). (2007). Climate change 2007: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Lawler, J. J. (2009). Climate change adaptation strategies for resource management and conservation planning. *Journal of the New York Academy of Sciences*, (1162), p. 79-98.

Lemieux, C. J., & Scott, D. J. 2005. Climate change, biodiversity conservation and protected area planning in Canada. *Canadian Geography*, (49), p. 384.

Poiani, K., et al. (2010). Redesigning biodiversity conservation projects for climate change: examples from the field. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 20 (1), p. 185-201.

Welch, D. (2005). What should protected areas managers do in the face of climate change? *The George Wright Forum*, (22), p. 75–93.